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Figure S1: Simulated conductivity $\sigma$ of KCl electrolyte as a function of distance $R$ from the center of a line of carbon (a), hydrogen (b), nitrogen (c), oxygen (d) atoms, scaled by the bulk conductivity $\sigma_0$. The main text Fig. 2a & b show the simulation system. Piecewise linear approximation is shown in black.
Figure S2: Simulated conductivity $\sigma$ of K$^+$ (orange) and Cl$^-$ (blue) species of 1M KCl electrolyte as a function of distance $R$ from the center of a line of carbon (a), hydrogen (b), nitrogen (c), oxygen (d) atoms, scaled by the bulk conductivity $\sigma_0$. The main text Fig. 2a & b show the simulation system. Piecewise linear approximation is shown in black.
Figure S3: Comparison of the nanopore currents computed using the resistors model described in Ref. 1 against SEM. The simulation system is shown in the main text Fig. 3a. Data for proteins of identical amino acid sequences but different folding states and/or orientations are shown using symbols of the same shape and color. Filled/open symbols correspond to folded/unfolded proteins, respectively. The orange line (of slope 1 and intercept of 0) indicates perfect agreement between the two methods.
Figure S4: Comparison of the SEM and MD blockade currents in MspA done using nominal bulk conductivity of 1.1 M KCl. (a) Ionic current measured from displacement of ions in explicit solvent MD simulations (black) and computed from the coordinates of DNA and MspA using SEM (colors). For both methods, the transmembrane bias was set to 180 mV, the current was sampled every 100 ps and averaged in 100 ns blocks. (b) Histograms of blockade currents obtained using explicit solvent MD (black) and SEM (colors). Main text Fig. 5a shows typical simulation systems.
Figure S5: Comparison of the SEM and MD blockade currents for 3′-trans DNA homopolymer/MspA systems done using effective bulk conductivity of 1.4 M KCl. (a) Ionic current measured from displacement of ions in explicit solvent MD simulations (black) and computed from the coordinates of DNA and MspA using SEM (colors). For both methods, the transmembrane bias was set to 180 mV, the current was sampled every 100 ps and averaged in 100 ns blocks. (b) Histograms of blockade currents obtained using explicit solvent MD (black) and SEM (colors). Main text Fig. 5a shows typical simulation systems.
Methods for MD simulations of biological nanopores

SI Table S1 summarizes the conditions used for the MD simulations of biological nanopores. Initial atomic models of the biological nanopores were taken from the Protein Data Bank, see PDB ID column of SI Table S1. The model of G20C viral portal protein was obtained by mutating all 49L residues to cysteines; the porphyrin moieties were added via harmonic bonds linking them to the sulphur atoms of C49 residues. The FhuA structure was modified to produce a ∆C/∆5L deletion mutant as described in our previous work. The MspA structure was adjusted to represent the M1-NNN (D20N/D91N/D93N) mutant; the vestibule of the MspA protein was truncated to retain only residues 75-120, see Ref. 4 for details.

Each system was built by aligning a patch of pre-equilibrated lipid bilayer with the $x$–$y$ plane. The biological nanopore was oriented to have its symmetry axis aligned with the $z$ axis. The hydrophobic stem of each nanopore was placed at the center of the bilayer and all residues of the bilayer that had an atom in contact with the pore were removed. The protein and lipid system was then solvated in TIP3P water. After neutralizing the net charge of the system, ions were added to make a 1M KCl or NaCl solution. The final dimensions of each system and the total number of atoms are specified in column 3 and 4 of Table S1, respectively. After energy minimization, each system was heated to 295 K. Following that, the systems were equilibrated in an NPT ensemble to attain equilibrium volume. These NPT simulations were carried out maintaining the constant ratio of the systems’ dimension in the $x$–$y$ plane. The equilibrated system was used for ionic current simulations carried out in the NVT ensemble; the systems’ dimension were the average values form the NPT equilibration. Constant electric field was applied along the $z$ direction to produce the target transmembrane voltage (column 6, Table S1). The simulations were run for the specified number of nanoseconds; the ionic currents were computed by summing up instantaneous displacements of ions along the MD trajectory.
Table S1: Conditions for MD simulations of biological nanopores. The PDB ID column specifies the initial crystallographic structure before introducing mutations or deletions to represent the experimental nanopore system. The Ions column specify the type of electrolyte used in the MD simulations; the bulk electrolyte concentration was 1 M in all systems. DPhPC lipid bilayer refers to 1,2-diphytanoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine and POPC lipid bilayer refers to 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-glycero-3-phosphocholine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biological nanopore</th>
<th>PDB ID</th>
<th>System size (nm³)</th>
<th>Number of atoms</th>
<th>Simulation time</th>
<th>Voltage bias</th>
<th>Ions</th>
<th>Lipid bilayer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aerolysin</td>
<td>5JZT⁶</td>
<td>19.2 × 19.2 × 14.5</td>
<td>447,121</td>
<td>20 ns</td>
<td>500 mV</td>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>DPhPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G20C</td>
<td>4ZJN⁷</td>
<td>20.4 × 20.4 × 18.3</td>
<td>792,643</td>
<td>35 ns</td>
<td>100 mV</td>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>DPhPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OprD</td>
<td>3SYZ⁸</td>
<td>8.4 × 8.4 × 10.9</td>
<td>81,934</td>
<td>650 ns</td>
<td>80 mV</td>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>DPhPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-HL</td>
<td>7AHL⁹</td>
<td>14.4 × 14.4 × 18.4</td>
<td>391,165</td>
<td>250 ns</td>
<td>120 mV</td>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>DPhPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OmpF</td>
<td>2OMF¹⁰</td>
<td>12.1 × 12.1 × 7.5</td>
<td>110,608</td>
<td>40 ns</td>
<td>500 mV</td>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>POPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FhuA</td>
<td>1BY5¹¹</td>
<td>12.5 × 12.5 × 11.2</td>
<td>184,448</td>
<td>240 ns</td>
<td>-80 mV</td>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>DPhPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClyA</td>
<td>2WCD¹²</td>
<td>20.0 × 20.0 × 21.2</td>
<td>872,479</td>
<td>120 ns</td>
<td>120 mV</td>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>DPhPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FraC</td>
<td>4TSY¹³</td>
<td>14.1 × 14.1 × 17.5</td>
<td>357,179</td>
<td>35 ns</td>
<td>1.2 V</td>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>DPhPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MspA</td>
<td>1UUN¹⁴</td>
<td>6.6 × 6.6 × 5.5</td>
<td>13,548</td>
<td>500 ns</td>
<td>180 mV</td>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>POPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQP-1</td>
<td>1J4N¹⁵</td>
<td>9.3 × 8.6 × 7.7</td>
<td>65,497</td>
<td>150 ns</td>
<td>0 V</td>
<td>neutral</td>
<td>POPE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References


